


# **2009 Annual Report on Property Assessments**










Michael Ireland, CAE Assessor City of Bloomington Township 607 S Gridley St. Bloomington IL 61701 www.Assessor-blm.com

### **Table of Contents**

7	

ORGANIZATIONAL CHART	2
USES OF THE PROPERTY TAX	3
UNDERLYING CONCEPTS OF PROPERTY TAXATION	3
THE ASSESSMENT PROCESS	4
PROPERTY TAXES AT THE LOCAL LEVEL	4
Board of Review:	5
Public Service:	5
Geographic Information System:	6
Acknowledgements:	7
TAX CYCLE - YEAR	8
FINAL ABSTRACT	9
2009 BOARD OF REVIEW REPORT SUMMARY	10
ASSESSED VALUE SUMMARY	11
SCHOOL DISTRICT ASSESSED VALUE REPORTS	
GROWTH IN ASSESSED VALUE	14
ESTIMATED TAX BILLS AND EFFECTIVE TAX RATES on a \$250,000 home in Illinois cities (collected in 2006)	
MAJOR USERS of the PROPERTY TAX	16
MAJOR USERS GRAPHS	17
TAX RATE CHART	18
TAX RATE CHANGES	19
MEDIAN SALE PRICE CHART	21
RATIO OF ASSESSED VALUE TO SALE PRICE	22
10 YEAR HISTORY OF MCLEAN COUNTY TOWNSHIP MULTIPLIERS	23
Sales Analysis Comparison	24
Comparison of Overall Market Activity:	25
New Construction Compared to Existing Homes	27
Foreclosure	31

### **ORGANIZATIONAL CHART**



### **USES OF THE PROPERTY TAX**

The property tax provides nearly as many tax dollars to local government than what is collected by the state with a combination of income and sales tax. Every dollar of the property tax remains in the local area and is used to support local government services. With state tax policies, far less than 100% of taxes are returned for support of local services. Some of the principal users of the property tax are as follows:

County Government: <u>www.McLeancountyil.Gov</u>

Schools within City of Bloomington: www.District87.org

www.Unit5.org

www.Olympia.org

www.tri-valley.k12.il.us

City Government: <u>www.cityblm.org</u>

Airport Authorities: <u>www.cira.com</u>

Libraries: www.bloomingtonlibrary.org

Townships: www.assessor-blm.com

Heartland Community College: <u>www.HCC.cc.IL.US</u>

All of these and many other taxing districts rely on the property tax for major portions if not all of their funding. Schools receive the largest portion of the property tax dollars, often more than 60% of each dollar collected. Reviewing the above WEB sites and others will provide insight into how these taxing authorities provide services for the tax dollars they collect.

### **UNDERLYING CONCEPTS OF PROPERTY TAXATION**

Two essential players of the property tax are the assessing authority, [Township and County Government] and the taxing authority [see list above]. The taxing authority determines the amount of property tax to be collected through budgets and tax levies. The assessing authority determines how the total amount of tax collected will be apportioned among taxpayers; in other words, how tax dollars will be spread among those responsible for payment. This apportioning is based on an ad valorem concept (according to value).

Taxpayers also have a responsibility in the process. To monitor the taxing authorities spending policies by attending budget hearings and providing input. Second, is to monitor the assessing authority process in apportioning the burden. This is accomplished by comparison of one's assessed value to other properties with similar physical characteristics, and value then filing an appeal for a review of value when errors or, inequities exist.

### THE ASSESSMENT PROCESS

The assessor's office spends a great deal of time and effort monitoring all aspects of the township's economic development. This includes tracking building permits, maintaining records of ownership and tax liability. Maintaining and creating tax maps when a property transfer results in a change of ownership lines, and adding new taxable parcels to the roll like a subdivision. The assessor's office is an information center for other units of government, and private industry. Data must be as current as possible, accurate, and available on request in a concise yet comprehensive format. The City of Bloomington Township, Assessor accomplishes through their web site, <a href="www.assessor-blm.com">www.assessor-blm.com</a>. The assessors' office is responsible for listing, discovering, and valuing all property in the township. The process is on-going and recycles each year. In addition, the assessor must be prepared to defend each and every value estimate before both a local, and/or state appeal boards.

### PROPERTY TAXES AT THE LOCAL LEVEL

#### 2009 Summary of Activities:

During the 2009 assessment year, changes were made to over 22,000 individual property assessments. There were 476 new residential homes added and 26 new commercial structures. Twenty-three (23) properties were demolished or destroyed by fire. Other changes for legal description, new subdivision lots and updating of maps via splits or consolidation of parcels were completed. The aggregate of these changes resulted in a net increase of \$50 million to the tax base. Over \$26 million in new residential and \$13 million from new commercial construction were added to the tax rolls. Revaluation of residential and commercial property resulted in an increase of \$17 million. Equalization of all non-farm property added another (\$26 million).

All property must be assessed at one-third of its "Fair Cash Value". Compliance with property tax laws impacts how we do our work. Illinois law requires assessments be completed on or before April 15<sup>th</sup> of each year, however, in most counties the practicality of doing so is elusive. The staff at the City of Bloomington Township assessment office made a concerted effort to move the assessment cycle forward allowing tax bills to be

issued on time. McLean County will be able to issue tax bills on time again in the spring of 2010 with the option of paying half in June and half in September.

### **Equalization:**

After assessments are completed at the township level, they are sent to the county for publication, and equalization. The target for equalizing the overall level of assessment for the township is 33.33%. The median change in price during 2009 is 1%. As prescribed by law if the 3 year average assessment level is below the 33.3% statutory level assessments are subject to equalization. The equalization factor in 2009 was 1.0078. This indicates that assessments are within 1% percentage points of the required statutory level. For property in the City of Bloomington Township, but still being taxed by Bloomington Township an equalization factor of 1.0217 was applied. Before assessments are final the amounts are subject to exemptions for general homestead, and senior citizens, as well as reduction from the senior citizen tax freeze. The result is the finalized 2009 equalized assessed value (EAV) that taxing authorities' levy against to determine their tax rate

#### **Board of Review:**

There were 701 cases filed with the Board of Review in 2009. Of those 562 were residential. The Board made changes to 430 residential properties accounting for a total reduction in assessed value of \$3.2 million. Commercial/industrial properties changed were 98. The amount of assessed value reduced in the commercial/industrial class is \$7.8 million. All taxing bodies must be notified if any appeal seeks a reduction equal to \$100,000 or more in assessed value. In those cases legal counsel representing the Unit 5 and District 87 schools where present. Twenty-two properties received reductions greater than \$100,000 totaling \$5,402,987. The 2009 Board of Review Summary Report is found on page 10.

#### **Public Service:**

A significant amount of commerce continues to use data and information found in the assessment office. A variety of assistance to taxpayers, appraisers, attorneys, realtors, title companies, other professionals, and the media is provided daily. Providing easy access to records and information via our WEB site <a href="www.Assessor-BLM.com">www.Assessor-BLM.com</a> enhances this service. We apologize for inconveniences this past year when our web hosting company experienced more than acceptable down-time. We have a backup site <a href="www.wevaluebloomington.org">www.wevaluebloomington.org</a> that can be access if our primary site is down.

For the public that does not have access to the WEB, or requires information not found on our site we provide a custom level of service. Products like parcel maps, aerial photos, sales reports, summarized assessment data in specific areas are examples of additional support we provided. We also participated in public education sessions on property tax issues through various service and special interest groups. Sharing data and applications via intergovernmental cooperation is another method of public service we provide.

### **Geographic Information System:**

Work on development of digital parcels maps (Geographic Information System) continued during 2009. The digital map product at the township is maintained at the highest level of accuracy by resident GIS specialist Terri Joyce. The GIS is a valuable tool that provides geographic data linked directly with property data. This process provides information on property assessments not previously available. Using the GIS we have improved the assessment process helping reduce cost and overhead. With our extensive property database, we produce maps using assessment data that are helping other agencies in planning and making fiscal decisions. The public can now access high quality digital parcel maps, with updated photography. Parcel links to other valuable government web sites are also available making this one stop shopping. A link to the mapping service is available on our web site and at <a href="https://www.mcGIS.ORG"><u>WWW.McGIS.ORG</u></a>.

### 2010 Tax Year Cycle:

The property tax cycle continues into 2010. The 2010 tax year brings new challenges to our office. The real estate market is dynamic and constantly changing. We continue to monitor the real estate market comparing and looking for changes in market activities for the various types of property and areas of the city. In 2010, we will carefully monitor changes caused by the financial markets problems and a slower demand for homes and rental properties. As specified earlier, the Illinois Property Tax Code specifically sets January 1<sup>st</sup> of each year as the date of valuation, except for property subject to pro-rated values. A special addendum to this report includes an analysis of change in the residential market comparing 2006 through 2009 residential market activity.

### **Property Taxes vs. Other forms of taxation:**

The positives to the property tax system are; it is a local tax and every dollar collected is distributed to local taxing authorities. Local governments have typically proven to be more efficient than state and federal government; therefore the cost to administrate and process the property tax at a local level is less expensive than if done by a larger government unit. It is also one of the only forms of taxation where the taxpayer can appear before either the assessing or taxing authority and seek relief. While it is a popular notion to shift some of the burden of the property tax to another type of tax, it may in fact increase overall tax levels. During 2009 and 2010 reductions in sales tax, state and federal income tax have place more financial burden on local government.

Local taxing bodies have increased property tax levies to help control loss of income from other forms of taxation. It is important property taxpayers monitor how much local property taxes increase to maintain basic service levels.

Considering the services provided by the property tax, schools, police and fire protection, trash and waste removal, snow removal, libraries, and local transportation, property owners benefit greatly. It's not possible to account for how much of your income or sales tax has been used to fund schools in your area versus the property tax. Local governments are dependent on property taxes to provide you with essential services in your community. The more services required, generally the more your taxes will be. The State of Illinois does not receive any money from the property tax. They also do not spend much to see it is administered properly or fairly. Meaningful policy changes and good assessment practices may bring more relief to taxpayers than transferring the burden to some other form of taxation.

The best form of property tax relief for individual property owners is to actively monitor taxing bodies' budget and funding policies. Also, monitor property assessment by the assessing authority on an annual basis. Contact your assessor when your property assessment seems out of line with actual market values, or when you feel you have been treated unfairly compared to similar properties.

### **Acknowledgements:**

The professional staff in the City of Bloomington Township Assessor's Office is to be commended for their diligence and level of service they provide. They have and continue to respond to changes in the community by enhancing services and providing quality assessments. I am truly grateful to them for their efforts, acceptance of responsibility, and the level of professionalism they exhibit. Without their help the goal of providing a fair and equitable property assessment would be unmanageable. I want to also acknowledge the Trustees of the Township. The privilege of having a professional staff is a result of the support given by them. THANK YOU

### **TAX CYCLE - YEAR**


### **Assessing Authority**

**COUNTY** 

- 1.Assessor
- 2. Supervisor of Assessments
- 3.Board of Review

STATE:

- 1.PTAB
- 2.IDOR



# **FINAL ABSTRACT**

### City of Bloomington <u>Township</u> ASSESSMENT AS OF JANUARY 1, 2009

By the Supervisor of Assessments

Property Use	Use Code	# of Parcels	S/A Assessed Value	BOR Assessed Value
RESIDENTIAL				
Model Homes	R-0040	49	\$0	\$989,006
Vacant Lots	R-0032	683	\$568,561	\$568,561
Vacant Lots	R-0030	792	\$6,761,964	\$6,704,528
Improved Land	R-0040	20,482	\$238,701,523	\$237,507,961
Improvements			\$925,580,915	\$919,014,330
TOTAL RESIDENTIAL		22,006	\$1,171,612,953	\$1,164,784,386
FARM				
Home Sites	F1/0011	1	\$6,123	\$6,123
Farm Dwelling	F1/0011		\$60,468	\$60,468
Other Land	F0-0020	3	\$13,756	\$13,756
Farmland	F1/0021	58	\$205,813	\$209,034
Farm Bldg.	F1/0011		\$34,440	\$34,440
TOTAL FARM		61	\$320,600	\$323,821
COMMERCIAL				
Vacant Lots	C2-0062	41	\$1,171,697	\$1,547,572
Vacant Lots	C/50/60/70	478	\$10,910,240	\$10,878,056
Improved Land			\$111,944,703	\$111,798,385
Improvements	C-0050, 0060	1,776	\$413,102,006	\$405,936,430
TOTAL COMMERCIAL		2,295	\$537,668,646	\$530,160,443
INDUSTRIAL				
Vacant Lots	I2-0082	4	\$79,720	\$79,720
Vacant Land	I/80	0	\$0	\$0
Improved Land	I-0080	21	\$2,060,443	\$2,060,443
Improvements			\$8,275,495	\$8,220,368
TOTAL INDUSTRIAL		24	\$10,415,658	\$10,360,531
RAILROAD		2	\$20,673	\$6,385
TOTAL ALL		24,388	\$1,720,038,530	\$1,705,636,566

# **City Of Bloomington**

### **2009 BOARD OF REVIEW REPORT SUMMARY**

### TOTAL NUMBER OF PARCELS INVOLVED IN B/R ACTION 701

Number of Complaints - Lost Equalized Assessed Value

531 \$11,190,127

Parcels with no Change in Value 170 \$0
Parcels with Increase in Value 20 \$495,802

### **ABSTRACT**

Total Assessed value after equalization (1.0078 multiplier) COBT Total Assessed value after equalization (1.0217 multiplier) Blm. Twp	\$1,720,038,530 \$236,629,186
Assessed Value Added by Board of Review Action (City of Bloomington)	\$495,802
Assessed Value Removed by Board of Review Action (City of Bloomington)	-\$11,190,127
NET CHANGE (City of Bloomington)	-\$10,694,325
TOTAL ASSESSED VALUE AFTER BOARD OF REVIEW ACTION (COBT)	\$1,945,973,391

# **ASSESSED VALUE SUMMARY**

YEAR	ASSESSOR NET INCREASE	TENTATIVE ABSTRACT	AFTER B/R	MULTIPLIER	FINAL ABSTRACT	TOTAL INCREASE	NEW CONST	MULTIPLIER	B/R & OTHER
1988	20,347,525	442,219,313	453,969,895	1.0274	466,408,670	44,536,882	19,365,271	12,438,775	12,732,836
1989	21,310,776	487,719,446	482,356,329	1.0471	505,075,312	38,666,642	19,978,542	22,718,983	(4,034,883)
1990	21,695,572	526,770,884	524,837,275	1.0271	539,060,365	33,985,053	20,541,261	14,223,090	(779,298)
1991	42,203,541	581,263,906	578,377,607	1.0000	578,377,607	39,317,242	36,569,762	0	2,747,480
1992	23,193,217	601,570,824	600,135,050	1.0297	617,959,061	39,581,454	21,907,043	17,824,011	(1,429,604)
1993	25,288,339	643,247,400	642,308,033	1.0284	660,549,582	42,590,521	23,853,883	18,241,549	939,367
1994	30,685,854	691,196,339	689,944,764	1.0461	721,705,678	61,156,096	29,821,422	31,760,914	(1,251,575)
1995	71,017,961	792,723,639	795,330,020	1.0000	795,330,020	73,624,342	39,127,663	0	2,575,841
1996	41,873,273	837,203,293	834,230,636	1.0652	889,297,257	93,967,237	35,792,054	55,066,621	(2,972,657)
1997	35,216,052	924,676,120	914,005,940	1.0492	958,785,380	69,488,123	33,117,624	44,779,440	10,670,180
1998	(146,661,377) (de-annexation)	812,124,003	807,871,462	1.0221	825,757,217	(133,028,163)	6,810,353	17,885,755	(4,231,648)
1999	27,126,197	852,883,414	849,251,586	S/A 1.0000	849,251,586	23,494,369	10,747,738	0	(3,631,828)
2000	13,492,269	888,647,264	885,044,583	S/A 1.0305	885,044,583	35,792,997	9,735,913	25,903,409	(3,602,681)
2001	8,596,728	935,201,433	931,952,258	S/A 1.0461	931,952,258	46,907,675	12,716,597	41,220,122	(3,249,175)
2002	223,765,998 (re-annexation)	1,203,314,793	1,191,990,787	S/A 1.0412	1,191,990,787	260,038,529	32,591,894	47,596,537	(11,320,370)
2003	66,617,254	1,258,608,041	1,254,349,473		1,254,349,473	62,358,686	36,753,256	0	(2,725,170)
2004 COB	292,673,720	1,547,023,193	1,539,356,485	BIm 1.0000	1,539,356,485	285,007,012	50,417,281	52,774,177	(7,666,708)
2005 COB	47,001,590	1,586,358,075	1,623,001,200	Blm 1.0128	1,623,001,200	83,644,715	53,898,774	45,211,059	(8,567,934)
2006 COB	80,253,393	1,703,254,593	1,696,281,022	COBT 1.0210 Blm 1.0346	1,696,281,022	73,279,822	41,428,748	36,433,663	(6,973,571)
2007 COB	81,269,455	1,777,550,477	1,770,026,952	COBT 1.0151 BLM 1.0301	1,770,026,952	73,745,930	42,075,942	26,827,205	(7,523,525)
2008 COB	115,374,790	1,885,401,742	1,881,573,749	COBT 1.0255 BLM 1.0413	1,881,573,749	111,546,797	51,265,373	43,178,922	(3,827,993)
2009 COB	50,223,951	1,947,957,988	1,945,973,391	COBT1.0078 BLM 1.0217	1,945,973,391	64,399,642	39,621,7231	17,862,856	(10,694,325)

### SCHOOL DISTRICT ASSESSED VALUE REPORTS

Total assessed value for the City of Bloomington \$1,936,858,824

Less Exemptions -\(\frac{\$146,494,528}{}\)
Total Taxable Assessed Value \(\frac{\$1,790,364,296}{}\)

### BREAKDOWN OF ASSESSED VALUE TOTALS BETWEEN UNIT 5 AND DISTRICT 87 In the City of Bloomington

UNIT 5			\$976,968,844
	Less General Homestead Exemption 7,592 x \$6000	-\$45,491,603	

Less Senior Citizens Exemption 825 \$4,000 -\$3,493,479

Less Senior Freeze 277 -\$2,128,400

Less Home Improvement Exemptions 270 -\$1,378,681

Less Model Home Exemptions 21 -\$1,377,565

Total Taxable Unit 5 \$923,099,116

**DISTRICT 87** \$959,882,750

Less General Homestead

Exemption 11,017x \$6,000 -\$66,094,420

Less Senior Citizens

Exemption 2,664 x \$4,000 -\$10,943,660

Less Senior Freeze 1,398 -\$13,903,691

Less Home Improvement

Exemptions 361 -\$1,682,929

Less Model Home

Exemptions 0 -\$0

Total Taxable District 87 **\$865,880,385** 

Total Taxable Unit 16 (Olympia) \$ 0

**Total Taxable Unit 3** (Tri-Valley) **\$7,230** 

NOTE:

The amounts are approximations based on initial assessed values. Actual amounts can be verified at the McLean County Clerks Office.


# City of Bloomington Principal Taxpayers for 2009

Name of Company	Type of Business		essed Value	% of Ass'd Value
tate Farm Insurance Co.	Insurance Office	\$	171,616,412	8.82%
Eastland Mall LLC	Eastland Mall	\$	18,578,967	0.95%
Country Life Insurance Co.	Subsidiary of IAA	\$	14,037,031	0.72%
llinois Agricultural Assn.	Insurance-Farm Services	\$	9,973,470	0.51%
Brickyard Complex	Snyder Office, Brickyard Apts, Friday's, I	\$	8,685,070	0.45%
Vingover	Apartments	\$	6,243,596	0.32%
Vestminister	Extended Living	\$	5,884,302	0.30%
JS REIF Parkway FEE LLC	Parkway Strip Mall	\$	5,745,450	0.30%
Val Mart	Wal Mart & K's Retail Store	\$	5,574,685	0.29%
partment Investors	Apartments	\$	4,803,994	1.91%
	Total	\$	251,142,977	12.91%
Other Taxpayers over One Million Assess				
Turnberry Village	Apartments	\$	4,467,143	
Kimco Realty Corp	Schnuck's Strip Mall	\$	4,445,165	
Carle Foundation	Medical Offices	\$	4,200,612	
BT Bloomington	Colonial Plaza, K-Mart	\$	3,928,163	
Bloomington Chateau	Hotel	\$	3,566,376	
Brookridge Apartments Lowe's Home Centers	Apartments Retail Store	\$	3,566,376	
CDS-IL Property Associates	Village Green	<b>\$</b>	3,253,681 3,242,240	
OSF	Medical Offices	φ	2,839,891	
HI Bloomington LLC	Hotel	\$	2,724,991	
Trinity Luthern Church	Senior Assisted Living	\$	2,590,912	
National City Bank	Financial Institution	\$	2,528,593	
Bloomington CINE LLC	Galaxy Theater	\$	2,526,531	
The Ponds	Apartments	\$	2,520,000	
Hilltop Mobile Home Park	Mobile Home Park	\$	2,465,998	
General Electric	Industrial	\$	2,395,446	
Verizon North, Inc	Utility	\$	2,395,446	
Pedcor Investments	Apartments	\$	2,324,507	
Main & Veterans	Parkway Complex	\$	2,278,433	
White Consolidated	Old Mennonite Bldg	\$	2,166,707	
Airport Sleep Inn	Hotel	\$	2,218,588	
May Department Store Co	Macy's	\$	2,066,124	
Beer Nuts Inc	Manufacturing/Warehouse	\$	1,944,024	
Barry G Stortz	Towanda Plaza/Apartments	\$	1,933,617	
Oakland Comms SC LLC Apartment Investors XVI	Jewel Osco Senior Assisted Living	\$	1,828,096	
Interstate Center	Convention Center	<b>\$</b>	1,086,051	
Charles W Williams	Commercial/Residential	\$	1,716,859 1,632,012	
Cargill	Industrial	\$ \$	1,618,096	
Bagerland LTD	Office Bulding	\$	1,598,347	
GKC Theatres Inc	Palace Cinemas	\$	1,584,729	
SSC Lakewood Plaza LLC	Lakewood Strip Mall	\$	1,564,393	
BRG LLC	Afini Offices	\$	1,533,351	
Paul F. Beich	Industrial	\$	1,501,725	
Mechanical Devices	Industrial	\$	1,434,158	
Bell Family	Cub Foods	\$	1,432,831	
Original Smith Printing Co	Warehouse/Office	\$	1,420,842	
MCLT #293	Towanda Plaza	\$	1,399,354	
Central III Neuroscience	Medical Offices	\$	1,367,738	
CD PRYZ LLC	Warehouse	\$	1,364,145	
Coporate Commones Investments	Community Shopping Center	\$	1,320,938	
Bloomington Bickford House	Senior Assisted Living	\$	1,303,979	
MCLT #H-290	Medical Offices	\$	1,273,565	
Shan Bedi	Apartments  Community Shanning Contact	\$ \$	1,203,252	
Kroger	Community Shopping Center Mobile Home Park	\$ \$	1,200,000	
Southgate Estates Laurence Hundman	Commercial/Residential	<b>\$</b>	1,198,235 1,187,678	
LT Bloomington Senior Housing	Lincoln Towers Apartment	<b>Q</b>	1,140,403	
BRE/ESA Properties LLC	Motel	<b>Q</b>	1,134,793	
Nu Way Transportation	Warehouse	\$	1,129,742	
TJL Limited Partnership	Automotive Dealership	\$	1,119,490	
Synergy II	Oakbrook Apartments	\$	1,110,490	
Anglers Manor Assn	Senior Assisted Living	\$	1,086,051	
Rainbow Luxury Apartments	Apartments	\$	1,060,580	
Tr 99-8304	Motel	\$	1,054,589	
AHI-Bloomington LLC	Motel	\$	1,018,920	
Bloomington Investment Inc	Motel	\$	1,010,782	
Morgan & Grimshaw Enterprises	Health Club	\$	1,005,789	
Four Seasons Association	Health Club	\$	1,002,714	
	Sub Total From Above	\$	114,223,791	
	Total All	\$	365,366,768	18.78%

Total 2009 Assessed Value for the City of Bloomington

### **GROWTH IN ASSESSED VALUE**

**City of Bloomington Township** 



The above graph shows the growth in assessed valuation for the City of Bloomington Township.

The 1998 reduction in assessed value was a result of de-annexation of parcels to their original townships.

The 2002 increase in assessed value is a result of re-annexation of parcels back to City of Bloomington Twp.

# ESTIMATED TAX BILLS AND EFFECTIVE TAX RATES on a \$250,000 home in Selected Illinois cities (collected in 2006)

City	County	Median EAV Adj EAV (Taxable)	Aggregate Tax Rate	Effective tax rate (ETR)	Tax bill	State Rank
Rockford	Winnebago	28.36	10.82	3.069	\$7,671	5
Galesburg	Knox	29.58	10.26	3.033	\$7,584	6
Pontiac	Livingston	29.91	9.23	2.759	\$6,899	11
Macomb	McDonough	27.58	9.93	2.738	\$6,845	12
Decatur	Macon	29.57	8.99	2.659	\$6,648	15
Danville	Vermillion	27.58	9.48	2.616	\$6,539	17
Lincoln	Logan	29.46	8.32	2.450	\$6,124	24
Peoria	Peoria	28.86	8.34	2.406	\$6,015	25
Urbana	Champaign	28.66	8.26	2.366	\$5,915	27
LaSalle	LaSalle	28.50	8.29	2.363	\$5,908	28
East Peoria	Tazewell	28.44	8.25	2.345	\$5,864	30
Carbondale	Jackson	27.66	8.43	2.331	\$5,828	32
Bloomington	McLean	30.31	7.52	2.280	\$5,700	34
Normal	McLean	30.65	7.34	2.248	\$5,620	36
Springfield	Sangamon	28.73	7.81	2.244	\$5,610	37
Pekin	Tazewell	29.17	7.46	2.175	\$5,439	39
Champaign	Champaign	28.36	7.55	2.141	\$5,352	41

The effective tax rate (ETR) is helpful in measuring the relative amount of tax between two communities, or even different taxing districts in the same community. The ETR expresses the nominal tax rate as a percent of a properties market value. In the above chart the sample, market value is \$250,000. To find the amount of tax on a market value other than \$250,000 multiply the ETR by the market value. If you wanted to find the amount of tax on a \$150,000 home in Pekin, IL the calculation would be as follows:

Pekin Home	\$150,000 * .02175 = \$3,262.50	
for a similar h	nome in Galesburg change the ETR	
Galesburg Home	\$150,000 * .03033 = \$4,549.50	

Note: The above list is a partial list reprinted from the Tax Facts, published by the Taxpayers' Federation of Illinois, January 2009.

### **MAJOR USERS of the PROPERTY TAX**

with Levies and Equalized Assessed Value


	2003	2004	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	2008	<u>2009</u>	% Change
City of Bloomington								
Levy	\$13,660,893	\$14,256,807	\$14,878,483	\$15,552,170	\$16,592,348	\$17,208,527	\$19,073,156	10.84%
EAV	\$1,351,696,013	\$1,415,670,679	\$1,489,321,602	\$1,559,440,896	\$1,648,273,644	\$1,728,787,894	\$1,772,326,819	2.52%
Rate	\$1.01064	\$1.007100	\$.99901	\$.99730	\$1.00665	\$0.99541	\$1.07616	
McLean County								
Levy	\$25,494,445	\$26,122,618	\$27,418,621	\$28,234,104	\$29,268,483	\$30,723,987	\$31,989,690	4.12%
EAV	\$2,703,536,784	\$2,782,765,456	\$2,920,446,010	\$3,071,283,531	\$3,248,544,091	\$3,426,850,406	\$3,527,528,520	2.94%
Rate	\$0.93685	\$0.938740	\$0.93885	\$0.91927	\$0.900098	\$0.89659	\$0.90687	
District 87								
Levy	\$33,251,871	\$34,843,963	\$35,981,806	\$36,816,147	\$38,194,234	\$39,988,142	\$40,846,736	2.15%
EAV	\$739,679,556	\$755,250,867	\$778,548,179	\$801,194,645	\$829,836,070	\$842,317,387	\$853,699,973	1.35%
Rate	\$4.43447	\$4.470140	\$4.48075	\$4.48221	\$4.51459	\$4.58085	\$4.61222	
Unit 5								
Levy	\$66,309,810	\$70,873,007	\$74,062,277	\$78,916,991	\$83,274,105	\$87,288,766	\$94,277,828	8.01%
EAV	\$1,427,241,236	\$1,498,481,854	\$1,607,744,285	\$1,725,856,749	\$1,830,912,144	\$1,941,951,450	\$2,007,575,457	3.38%
Rate	\$4.43031	\$4.507860	\$4.47579	\$4.44755	\$4.53253	\$4.58932	\$4.69289	
Heartland College								
Levy	\$11,339,146	\$12,716,809	\$14,075,958	\$14,626,473	\$16,802,979	\$18,022,374	\$18,775,469	4.18%
EAV	\$2,582,705,941	\$2,664,626,139	\$2,803,013,163	\$2,952,989,453	\$3,177,253,117	\$3,263,561,033	\$4,044,799,814	23.94%
Rate	\$0.35256	\$0.387520	\$0.39291	\$.40655	\$0.44423	\$0.45473	\$0.45910	
Town City of Bloom								
Levy	\$1,565,850	\$1,644,095	\$2,587,760	\$2,622,505	\$2,666,929	\$2,364,584	\$2,377,534	0.55%
EAV	\$1,002,445,654	\$1,036,726,325	\$1,092,557,791	\$1,141,612,558	\$1,207,887,380	\$1,265,590,988	\$1,305,122,637	3.12%
Rate	\$0.15620	\$0.188620	\$0.23686	\$0.22972	\$0.22080	\$0.18683	\$0.18217	

- The 2003 reduction in EAV is a result of agreement with Townships returning de-annexed EAV to original township for 10 years.
- The 2004 tax rate for the City of Bloomington Township now includes the Cemetery component previously shown as a separate rate.


### **MAJOR USERS GRAPHS**

City of Bloomington Township

### **Levy Amounts**



#### **Assessed Value**



### **City of Bloomington Township**


### **TAX RATE CHART**

	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	% Change
Township Cemetery	.02194	0	0	0	0	0		0%
Airport Authority	.1092	.10680	.05202	.11621	.10781	.11008	0.08546	-22.37%
City of Bloomington TWP	.1562	.18862	.23686	.22972	.22080	.18683	0.18217	-2.49%
Library	.27325	.27359	.27284	.27099	.26601	.26108	0.25467	-2.46%
BNWRD	.14314	.15014	.14835	.15303	.15871	.16036	0.16476	2.74%
McLean County	.93685	.93874	.93885	.91927	.90098	.89659	0.90687	1.15%
GL ADI	1.01051	4.00740	00001	00520	1.0055	00744	1.07515	0.1104
City of Bloomington	1.01064	1.00710	.99901	.99730	1.00665	.99541	1.07616	8.11%
0.1 10:	4 42 4 47	4.4701.4	4.40075	4 40221	4.51.450	4.50005	4 (1000	0.600/
School District 87	4.43447	4.47014	4.48075	4.48221	4.51459	4.58085	4.61222	0.68%
Heartland Comm. Callege	25256	20752	20201	40655	44402	45472	0.45010	0.060/
Heartland Comm. College	.35256	.38752	.39291	.40655	.44423	.45473	0.45910	0.96%
Total Rate								
Per \$100 assessed	7.43825	7.52265	7.52159	7.57528	7.61978	7.64593	7.74141	1.25%
value	7.43023	7.52205	7.52157	1.51520	7.01770	7.0-373	7.77171	1.23/0

The above chart gives a six-year history of changes in tax rates for taxing authorities. The year pertains to the year of the tax value not the year the tax was paid.

### **TAX RATE CHANGES**


Bloomington vs. Normal



	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Composite COB Rate per \$100 assessed value	\$7.424	\$7.299	\$7.348	\$7.438	\$7.5226	\$7.521	\$7.575	\$7.620	\$7.64593	\$7.74141
Composite Normal Rate per \$100 assessed value	\$7.08	\$7.078	\$7.081	\$7.363	\$7.4647	\$7.335	\$7.324	\$7.462	\$7.5315	\$7.692

For comparison of how composite tax rates form similar services between the City of Bloomington and Town of Normal have changed.

# Tax Portion Comparison Using District 87 Schools



### **MEDIAN SALE PRICE CHART**


### Median Sale Price VS Median Assessed Value



Comparison of Change in Sale Price and Assessed Value

The sales above are sales detached single family homes, with a prior year full assessed value. The amounts are different from reports where all sales are considered regardless of the prior year assessment.

### RATIO OF ASSESSED VALUE TO SALE PRICE



**Statistical Analysis of the Assessment Ratios** 

Variable	: Ratio Residential Only	Count	% Value
	Mean Ratio	817	32.94
	100th Percentile (Maximum)		62.76
	75th Percentile (Upper Quartile)		35.15
	50th Percentile (Median Ratio)	817	32.46
	25th Percentile (Lower Quartile)		30.15
	0th Percentile (Minimum)		10.80
	Standard Deviation		5.26
	Inner-Quartile Range		5.005
	Coefficient of Variation (COV x 100)	817	15.96
	Coefficient of Dispersion (COD x 100)	817	10.87
	Price Related Differential (PRD)		1.00

Dividing the assessed value of a sold parcel by the sale price derives Assessment Ratios.

The 32.42% is representative on the most recent assessment level for one year, prior to the completion of new appraisals. Ratios are before equalization by the county. Statistics are from the Illinois Department of Revenue, Sales Ratio Detail List, 3-23-2010, PTAX – 1029 (N-2/01).

### 10 YEAR HISTORY OF MCLEAN COUNTY TOWNSHIP MULTIPLIERS

TAXING										
DISTRICT	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000
	S/A									
McLean County	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
Allin Twp.	1.0390	1.0443	1.0442	1.0000	1.0341	1.0000	1.0346	1.0561	1.0629	1.0235
Anchor Twp.	1.0321	1.0000	1.0585	1.0000	1.0491	1.0322	1.0100	1.0323	1.0586	1.0514
Arrowsmith Twp.	1.0381	1.0369	1.0071	1.0000	1.0000	1.1189	1.1067	1.0000	1.0335	1.0674
Bellflower Twp.	1.0000	1.0377	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0188	1.0943	1.0278	1.0000
Bloomington Twp.	1.0217	1.0413	1.0301	1.0346	1.0128	1.0000	1.0125	1.0215	1.0228	1.0247
Blue Mound Twp.	1.0380	1.0218	1.0000	1.0000	1.1040	1.0704	1.1327	1.0419	1.0208	1.0727
Cheney's Grove Twp.	1.0353	1.0181	1.0480	1.0581	1.0000	1.0417	1.0163	1.0585	1.0154	1.0000
Chenoa Twp.	1.0494	1.0328	1.0602	1.0000	1.0297	1.0000	1.0501	1.0177	1.0638	1.0084
City of Bloomington Twp.	1.0078	1.0255	1.0151	1.0210	1.0300	1.0406	1.0000	1.0412	1.0461	1.0305
Cropsey Twp.	1.0359	1.0000	1.0693	1.0800	1.1033	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0568	1.0514
Dale Twp.	1.0000	1.0402	1.0378	1.0691	1.0158	1.0514	1.0321	1.0283	1.0201	1.0221
Danvers Twp.	1.0000	1.0159	1.0407	1.0426	1.0044	1.0420	1.0390	1.0362	1.0385	1.0000
Dawson Twp.	1.0357	1.0177	1.0319	1.0000	1.0732	1.1189	1.1161	1.0000	1.0406	1.1040
Downs Twp.	1.0293	1.0273	1.0329	1.0080	1.0000	1.0498	1.0525	1.1121	1.0098	1.0185
Dry Grove Twp.	1.0073	1.0284	1.0000	1.0092	1.0000	1.0729	1.0190	1.0663	1.0165	1.0496
Empire Twp.	1.0270	1.0323	1.0465	1.0247	1.0210	1.0286	1.0229	1.0526	1.0258	1.0000
Funks Grove Twp.	1.0354	1.0409	1.0000	1.0370	1.0000	1.0000	1.0188	1.0906	1.0644	1.1017
Gridley Twp.	1.0213	1.0177	1.0158	1.0000	1.0590	1.0432	1.0133	1.0122	1.0488	1.0312
Hudson Twp.	1.0387	1.0000	1.0000	1.0599	1.0603	1.0248	1.0000	1.0702	1.0204	1.0410
Lawndale Twp.	1.0354	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0157	1.0450	1.0156	1.0000	1.0377	1.0423
Lexington Twp.	1.0293	1.0268	1.0118	1.0377	1.0315	1.0405	1.0512	1.0192	1.0979	1.0606
Martin Twp.	1.0134	1.0529	1.0848	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0085	1.0476	1.0609	1.0706
Money Creek Twp.	0.9796	1.0554	1.0000	1.0946	1.0815	1.0057	1.0297	1.0539	1.0178	1.0000
Mt. Hope Twp.	1.0252	1.0237	1.0000	1.0083	1.0277	1.0151	1.0399	1.0107	1.0625	1.1040
Normal Twp.	1.0351	1.0300	1.0090	1.0398	1.0422	1.0122	1.0000	1.0396	1.0403	1.0167
Old Town Twp.	1.0539	1.0195	1.0100	1.0271	1.0327	1.0432	1.0127	1.0490	1.0352	1.0217
Randolph Twp.	1.0250	1.0190	1.0174	1.0589	1.0073	1.0400	1.0228	1.0350	1.0111	1.0000
Towanda Twp.	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0495	1.0350	1.0361	1.0000	1.0582	1.0370	1.0123
West Twp.	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0380	1.1036	1.1160	1.0029	1.1385	1.1085	1.0000
White Oak Twp.	1.0456	1.0317	1.0642	1.0370	1.0731	1.0538	1.0074	1.0000	1.0000	1.0505
Yates Twp.	1.0386	1.0000	1.0574	1.0900	1.0319	1.0377	1.0723	1.0323	1.0858	1.0407

Only 5 townships received an equalization factor of 1.0000 for tax year 2009.


# Comparison of 2006 through 2009 Sales


# Addendum

### **Sales Analysis Comparison**

<u>Note:</u> Some values will differ from what has previously been reported due to the difference in comparing data with full assessments to just sales data regardless of what the status of the assessment was at the time of sale.

### **Comparison of Overall Market Activity:**






Changes in Sales Activity by year and quarters

Changes in Median price for all residential by year











**New Construction Compared to Existing Homes** 





# **Market Statistics**

Change in Median Price per Square Foot of Living Area							
Sale Year		Count	Median SF	Sum of Transaction			
2	2006	1167	\$ 101.72	\$	222,549,600		
2	2007	945	\$ 104.38	\$	179,279,500		
2	8002	892	\$ 103.75	\$	178,992,300		
2	2009	809	\$ 102.46	\$	153,582,800		

1. Another analysis includes homes that have sold and resold measuring the most recent price against the previous price. The table below shows how those numbers stack-up. The sample was limited to sales where the monthly value change was  $\pm$  1% or 12% annual change. This did not impact the median in any significant manner, and kept the sample representative of the general market place.

Table #1 includes all resales within a 36 month period, in total 488 transactions starting with 2006 as the base year.

Summary List of Annual Percentage Value – Sale & Resale within 36months						
Sale Year	Count	Median	Min	Max	Table # 1	
2006	146	3.620%	-9.64%	9.67%		
2007	132	2.932%	-8.64%	9.60%		
2008	106	1.295%	-8.54%	8.94%		
2009	104	0.587%	-8.92%	9.58%		


Tables #2 are only those properties with no change in value, up to the 10% limit. Out of the 488 transactions used in this study 385 or 79% sold for the at least the previous amount or more.

Annual Percentage Value – Sale & Resale No Change or Increase Only							
Sale Year	Count	Median	Min	Max	Table # 2		
2006	135	3.794%	0.00%	9.667%			
2007	109	3.666%	0.00%	9.596%			
2008	76	2.489%	0.00%	8.937%			
2009	65	2.385%	0.00%	9.583%			

Table #3 measures those properties selling for less than their previous amount. In this case 21% of the cases sold for less than their previous transaction price.

Annual Percentage Value – Sale & Resale Decrease Only							
ear	Count	Median	Min	Max	Table # 3		
2006	11	-2.721%	-0.923%	-9.639%			
2007	23	-2.945%	-0.664%	-8.644%			
2008	30	-2.346%	-0.051%	-8.538%			
2009	39	-3.222%	-0.382%	-8.918%			


Graphically the percent changes in value are below; the data corresponds to Table # 1. The notch represents the median change for that year: The height of the yellow graphic also indicates how spread out the changes are from the median value. Green and red dots are outlier cases. From 2006 through 2009 as expected the rate of increase has declined, but the market was still showing an increase throughout 2009.



Summary: The rate of change has declined each year since 2006 but values in general are stable to slightly increasing. This is not to say that some sub-markets are not experiencing dormant or a slight decline in the average and median transaction price. Clearly two-thirds to three-forth of the market has continued to increase in price since 2006. The Bloomington/Normal Association of Realtors, Illinois Association of Realtors, stats consistently rank the Bloomington-Normal market as a strong market with an increasing median price.

### **Foreclosure:**

#### **Recorded Foreclosures**



Tracking foreclosures involves properties with a recorded transaction declaring the sale to be a foreclosure sale, or court ordered sale. Short sales, and other transactions that are in-lieu of foreclosure are often transacted without notice of a pending foreclosure proceeding. The chart above includes only the recorded transactions.